



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/930,229 08/16/2001		08/16/2001	Leonard Forbes	M4065.0448/P448	9472	
24998	7590	07/19/2006		EXAMINER		
DICKSTE 1825 EYE S	:		HASHEM, LISA			
Washington				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2614	2614 DATE MAIL ED: 07/19/2006	
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/930,229	FORBES, LEONARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lisa Hashem	2614		

· · ·			1
	Lisa Hashem	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liana with 27 CED 44 27 mount be	filed within two mands	ha af tha data of
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	huit mains to the slate of filiage a baint	will not be entered b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	·	,,	
(c) 🖾 They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		II be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-6, 9-13, 22, 24-36, 38-43, and 55-</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>71</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	
13.  Other:		Jan p	7
		FAN TSANG	
Lina Healiera		RVISORY PATENT E)	
~ 1.40 /He xnue /m	,	CHNOLOGY CENTER	2600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Newly amended claims 1, 22, 36, 55, 61, and 67 include new limitations not presented in the Amendment filed on 1-20-2006. The newly added limitations would require further search/consideration.

Newly amended claim 61 could be rejected based on 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph because '...the memory module...' in line 12 of claim 61 lacks antecedent basis.